UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/573,365	03/05/2007	Wolfgang Richter	704743	9460
	7590 07/22/200 `& MAYER, LTD	EXAMINER		
TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900			YOUNG, SHAWQUIA	
180 NORTH STETSON AVENUE CHICAGO, IL 60601-6731			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/22/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/573,365	RICHTER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	SHAWQUIA YOUNG	1626			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 Ag</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 15-31 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 29-31 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 15-28 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or	rn from consideration. relection requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-		• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/30/09.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Application/Control Number: 10/573,365 Page 2

Art Unit: 1626

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 15-31 are currently pending in the instant application. Claims 15-28 are rejected and claims 29-31 are withdrawn from consideration.

I. Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments and affidavit, filed April 30, 2009 has overcome the rejection of claims 15-28 under 35 USC 112, first paragraph as failing to comply with the written description requirement and the rejection of claims 15-28 under 35 USC 112, first paragraph as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The above rejections have been withdrawn. Applicants have overcome the objection to the specification and oath/declaration. Both objections have been withdrawn.

II. Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on April 30, 2009 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement has been considered by the examiner.

III. Rejection(s)

Art Unit: 1626

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 15-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a compound of formula (I) or a pharmacologically acceptable salt does not reasonably provide enablement for a **solvate**, **hydrate** or **pharmaceutically acceptable formulation** of a compound of formula (I). The specification does not provide sufficient guidance nor does it enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

As stated in the MPEP 2164.01 (a), "There are many factors to be considered when determining whether there is sufficient evidence to support a determination that a disclosure does not satisfy the enablement requirement and whether any necessary experimentation is "undue."

In <u>In re Wands</u>, 8 USPQ2d 1400 (1988), factors to be considered in determining whether a disclosure meets the enablement requirement of 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, have need described. They are:

- 1. the nature of the invention,
- 2. the state of the prior art,
- 3. the predictability or lack thereof in the art,
- 4. the amount of direction or guidance present,
- 5. the presence or absence of working examples,
- 6. the breadth of the claims,

Application/Control Number: 10/573,365 Page 4

Art Unit: 1626

7. the quantity of experimentation needed, and

8. the level of the skill in the art.

In the instant case

The nature of the invention

The nature of the invention is a compound of formula (I) or a pharmacologically acceptable salt. There is no teaching of solvates, hydrates or pharmacologically acceptable formulations of the compounds of Formula I in the specification.

The state of the prior art and predictability or lack thereof in the art

It is the state of the prior art that the term "solvate" found in the claims is defined as a compound formed by solvation (the combination of solvent molecules with molecules or ions of the solute. It has been estimated that approximately one-third of the pharmaceutically active substances are capable of forming crystalline hydrates. Predicting the formation of solvates or hydrates of a compound and the number of molecules of water or solvent incorporated into the crystal lattice of a compound is complex and difficult. Each solid compound responds uniquely to the possible formation of solvates or hydrates and hence generalizations cannot be made for a series of related compound (See *Vippagunta*, *et al.*)

The scope of "solvate" or "hydrate" are not adequately enabled or defined.

Applicants provide no guidance as how the compounds are made more active *in vivo*.

Solvates and hydrates cannot always be predicted and therefore are not capable of being claimed if the applicant cannot properly enable a particular hydrate or solvate.

The amount of direction or guidance present and the presence or absence of

working examples

There is no direction or guidance present in the specification or working examples present in the specification are that defines or relates to what solvates are being included in the elected invention. The terms "solvates" and "hydrates" are generically discussed on page 7 of the specification and reads on the following:

"Compounds of the formula (I) may be solvated, especially hydrated."

It is unclear what subject matter is embraced by the phrase "pharmacologically acceptable formulation". Applicants have failed to defined the phrase "pharmacologically acceptable formulation" or have not discussed in detail what is being referred to by the phrase.

The breadth of the claims

The breadth of the claims is a compound of formula (I) or a pharmacologically acceptable salt, solvate, hydrate or a pharmacologically acceptable formulation.

The quantity of experimentation needed and the level of the skill in the art

While the level of the skill in the pharmaceutical art is high, the quantity of experimentation needed is undue experimentation. One of skill in the art would need to prepare compounds with, for example, various solvents without any direction as to what compounds form solvates with which solvents.

The level of skill in the art is high without showing or guidance as to how to make

solvates of a compound of formula (I) it would require undue experimentation to figure out, for example, the solvents, temperatures and reaction times that would provide solvates of the above compounds.

To overcome this objection, Applicant should submit an amendment deleting the terms "solvates", "hydrates" and "pharmacologically acceptable formulation".

IV. **Objections**

Claim Objection-Non Elected Subject Matter

Claims 15-28 are objected to as containing non-elected subject matter. To overcome this objection, Applicant should submit an amendment deleting the nonelected subject matter.

٧. Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shawquia Young whose telephone number is 571-272-9043. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 AM-3:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph McKane can be reached on 571-272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/573,365 Page 7

Art Unit: 1626

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Shawquia Young/

Examiner, Art Unit 1626

/Kamal A Saeed/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1626